

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE COCHISE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
REGULAR MEETING HELD ON
Tuesday, June 14, 2016**

A regular board meeting of the Cochise County Board of Supervisors was held on Tuesday, June 14, 2016 at 10:00 a.m. in the Board of Supervisors' Hearing Room, 1415 Melody Lane, Building G, Bisbee, Arizona.

Present: Richard R. Searle, Chairman; Patrick G. Call, Vice-Chairman; Ann English, Member

Staff James E. Vlahovich, County Administrator; Edward T. Gilligan, Deputy County

Present: Administrator; Arlethe G. Rios, Clerk of the Board; Elda Orduno, Civil Deputy County Attorney

Chairman Searle called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.

ANY ITEM ON THIS AGENDA IS OPEN FOR DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

THE ORDER OR DELETION OF ANY ITEM ON THIS AGENDA IS SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION AT THE MEETING

CONSENT

Board of Supervisors

1. Approve the Minutes of the regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of May 24, 2016.

Community Development

2. Adopt Resolution 16-17 authorizing the abandonment of a public right-of-way located south of Willcox.
3. Approve the renewal of Contract No. IFB 13-41-HFP-04 for Culvert Cleaning and related Maintenance Services with Banning Creek Enterprises, LLC in the not to exceed amount of \$250,000 for the Community Development Highway and Floodplain Division.

County Attorney

4. Approve an amendment to the contract with Catholic Community Services (CSS), the agency which provides home study services for the families for whom Cochise County provides adoption assistance, as per A.R.S. 8-127 to update the CSS payment address; the attorney to Lauri Owen, who handles adoptions for the County; and extend the end date to June 30, 2021.

County Sheriff

5. Approve grant agreement HT-16-2625 with the Southwest Border Arizona Region High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area (HIDTA) for the Sheriff's Office to participate in the Southern Arizona Major Investigative Team (SAMIT) in the amount of \$142,900 for salaries, partial fringe benefits (ERE), and supplies effective January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2017.
6. Approve an Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) by and between Cochise County and the City of Bisbee regarding the provision of law enforcement patrol and personnel, effective for one year with one automatic annual renewal unless terminated by either party pursuant to the termination clause.
7. Approve Modification of Grant or Agreement #12-LE-11030518-001, Modification 004, between the USDA Forest Service and the Sheriff's Office for a term of January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016 in the amount of \$10,000 for overtime, employer related expenses and fleet costs for Sheriff's deputies to patrol various recreation areas of the Coronado National Forest.
8. Approve an Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) with the Fry Fire Department and the Cochise County Sheriff's Office for the use of certified Paramedics to participate in the Sheriff's Office SWAT Tactical Emergency Medical (TEM's) program; including required monthly training and tactical operations with reimbursement of up to \$10,000 annually to the Fry Fire Department from the Sheriff's Office "Rico" account.

Emergency Services

9. Approve renewal of Arizona Land Lease 018-101928-00 for road access to the Dos Cabezas Radio Tower site.

Facilities

10. Approve a month-to-month lease agreement at BDI Hangar T4096 with Javier Armenta, dba Just Aviation LLC for the sum of \$525 per month, effective September 1, 2016.

Finance

11. Approve demands and budget amendments for operating transfers. Warrants Nos. 99040-99051, 99062-99305, 99312-99512, 99523-99554, 99745-99746 were issued in the amount of \$1,957,209.28.

Pursuant to A.R.S. §11-217(C), the published minutes shall include all demands and warrants approved by the Board in excess of one thousand dollars except that multiple demands and warrants from a single supplier or individual under one thousand dollars whose cumulative total exceeds one thousand dollars in a single reporting period shall also be published. The voided warrants are listed below:

<u>Fund</u>	<u>Vendor</u>	<u>Amount</u>
100	AZ Department of Corrections - Douglas	\$32.00
147	Ellis, Michael R.	592.19

Issued warrants are listed as an attachment at the end of the minutes.

Health & Social Services

12. Approve payment of an over six month inmate dental claim with date of service April 30, 2015 in the amount of \$1,757.
13. Approve the Arizona Department of Health Services IGA contract #ADHS17-133164 for the Emergency Preparedness Program, between the Arizona Department of Health Services and Cochise Health & Social Services, in the amount of \$220,682.49, effective July 1, 2016 and ending June 30, 2017.

Indigent Defense

14. Approve the renewal of contracts for Indigent Defense Contract Services to fifteen attorneys listed in the attached exhibit A for the period of July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017.

Procurement

15. Approve the renewal of Contract No. 15-30-SHF-03 for Food Service for Jail and Juvenile Facilities with Trinity Services Group. Inc. for the period of July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 in the estimated amount of \$475,000.

Workforce Development

16. Approve the appointment of Ms. Teresa Celestine to the Local Workforce Investment Board to fill an unexpired term, effective immediately and through 6/30/2018.

Supervisor English moved to approve items 1-16 on the Consent Agenda. Vice-Chairman Call seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

Chairman Searle noted that he would hear the Action Agenda next.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

Board of Supervisors

17. Uphold the decision of the Planning and Zoning Commission approving SU-16-04 (Kriaris), a request for a Special Use to allow for the cultivation and infusion of medical marijuana on a 40-acre RU-10, D-Rural zoned property, APN 305-55-015, located at 6950 S. Covered Wagon Rd., Willcox, AZ.

Ms. Jesse Drake, Planning Manager, Planning & Zoning Division, Community Development Department, presented this item using a PowerPoint presentation. Ms. Drake gave the background:

- On April 13, 2016 the Planning and Zoning Commission unanimously approved a Special Use request for a medical marijuana cultivation and infusion facility on a 40-acre, RU-10, D-Rural zoned parcel; APN 305-55-015.
- This use is considered a Special Use in Rural districts under Sections 607.26 and 607.55 of the Zoning Regulations.
- On April 25, 2016 Cynthia M. Traylor submitted an application to Appeal the Planning and Zoning Commission's decision.

Ms. Drake showed a map of the location, surrounding conditions, the conceptual site plan and information that the site is not in flood zone, not in the Douglas Irrigation Non-expansion Area (INA), water recycling and conservative measures, and locked and enclosed greenhouses were proposed, the project will meet setbacks and provide adequate parking, and that a future

phasing plan is shown to address future demand. She then showed pictures of the site and covered the key points on the staff memo to the Planning and Zoning (PNZ) Commission:

- No dispensary.
- Meets all separation/spacing requirements.
- 3-5 employees in Phase One; up to 24 employees at full build-out.
- On-site housing for some employees will reduce traffic.
- Locked and enclosed greenhouses.
- Charcoal filters to capture and remove odors.
- Organic growing and non-polluting organic pesticides.
- County will inspect for fire safety compliance.
- No light trespass, only lighting as required by the County and State.
- No modifications or waivers requested.
- Plants processed inside a secure building.
- Products sold in Phoenix area.
- Uses existing well and water conservation measures; water consumption regulated by Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR).
- Required fencing and security is regulated by the State.
- Licenses for medical marijuana dispensaries and cultivation areas are issued by the Arizona Department of Health Services. Permits are issued after proper security and containment measures are approved by the State.

Ms. Drake moved on to the factors staff uses to make recommendations based on Special Use criteria found in the County's Zoning Regulations along with a note on whether the applicant complied/did not comply/not applicable:

1. Compliance with Duly Adopted Plans: **Complied**
2. Compliance with the Zoning District Purpose Statement: **Complied**
3. Traffic Circulation Factors: **Complied**
4. Adequate Services and Infrastructure: **Complied with Conditions**
5. Significant Site Development Standards: **Complied**
6. Public Input: **(from the original application) Complied**
7. Hazardous Materials: **Complied**
8. Off-Site Impacts: **Complied**
9. Water Conservation: **Complied**
10. Development Along Major Streets: Not Applicable

She gave a brief summary of the discussion by the PNZ Commission and noted that five members of the public had spoken in opposition:

- Mr. Richard Frank and Ms. Brenda Frank submitted cards in opposition but did not address the Commissioners.
- Ms. Cindy Traylor, the appellant, spoke in opposition citing fire and police response times, potential hazards with the use of butane in the processing facility, road maintenance and off-site impacts from odors.
- Ms. Peggy Ottens from Willcox spoke citing fire and police protection issues and the potential of attracting criminals to the area
- Mr. Paul Ottens also spoke citing concerns about grading and drainage on the site and the unreliability of services in the area.

She stated that the PNZ Commission unanimously approved the Special Use and then listed the reason why the Appellant did not agree with the decision of the PNZ Commission. She listed what the application for Appeal of a Special Use is required to include:

1. An identification of the decision being appealed;
2. A complete statement of all reasons why the Appellant believes that the decision, or any part of the decision, was erroneous, arbitrary, capricious, or an abuse of discretion; and
3. Written presentation of additional testimony and evidence, a full explanation of the additional testimony and evidence that will be submitted, with an explanation of why this

was not presented to the Planning Commission.

She went over the analysis of the Appeal request:

- Portions of the Appeal attachment were read at the Commission hearing.
- Residents were properly noticed and the public were allowed to speak.
- RU (Rural) is not a residential zoning category; although there are residential homes in the area, much more intensive uses are allowed by right in this rural district, such as RV parks, veterinary clinics or meat processing plants.
- Staff was contacted by the Fire Chief from the Chiricahua Fire District in response to the neighbors' concerns about fire response times; Chief Levine stated that the response time to a fire in that location would be under ten minutes.
- In addition, the proposal is located ½ mile from the 60-acre industrial pumping station for the El Paso Natural Gas pipeline which would have a much great impact to the neighborhood should a fire occur at that location.
- Flooding potential, light trespass, traffic and roadway conditions, off-site odors, and hazardous material safety are regulated by the County.
- Water consumption and environmental contamination are regulated by the State of Arizona and are not under the regulatory authority of the County

She showed statistics on the number of petitions sent opposed to the project (199) and those in favor of the project (730). Ms. Drake presented the data in forms and pie charts that showed there was more public opposition to the Appeal (support for the project) in total, in Cochise County and in the Willcox area. She said that the only factor in favor of the Appeal was the letters of support for the Appeal, then listed the factors in favor of denying the appeal:

1. Medical marijuana cultivation and infusion facilities are authorized by the State of Arizona;
2. Medical marijuana land uses are allowed as Special Uses in the Rural Districts in Cochise County;
3. The proposal complies with the Adopted Comprehensive Plan Agriculture and Ranching, Economic Development and Rural Character Elements;
4. The proposal complies with the Zoning ordinance Category D purpose statement;
5. No modifications to design standards were requested;
6. The proposal will employ water conservation measures;
7. The proposal, with the recommended Conditions of Approval, will comply with nine of the ten Special Use factors used by staff to analyze this request, the one additional factor was not applicable to this request;
8. The proposal will provide jobs for up to three to five employees in Phase One, and up to 24 employees at full build-out; and
9. At full build-out the traffic generated by this proposal would be less than if the site were developed as a residential use.
10. Staff finds no land use factors that were not addressed in the Planning and Zoning Commission hearing.
11. 730 residents sent letters in opposition to the appeal to overturn the Planning and Zoning Commission approval (in support of the project).

She said that based on the Factors in Favor of Denial of the Appeal, staff recommended upholding the Planning and Zoning Commission's approval of SU-16-04, with the original Conditions of Approval.

Chairman Searle opened the public hearing.

Mr. John Curran, opposed to Appeal, Director of Security for NGK Enterprises, LLC, addressed the Board regarding the current security set up for the facility in Phoenix and noted that there has not been any incidents at the current facility.

Ms. Gale Berry, in favor of Appeal, County resident, addressed the Board regarding her

concerns about medical marijuana being defined as an agricultural use, instead of an industrial and the security involved with this type of facility as well as emergency response times in this rural area.

Mr. Joseph Bernard, opposed to Appeal, General Contractor for NGK Enterprises, LLC, Phoenix facility, addressed the Board on fire precautions at the current facility, noted that all County requirements would be met, and offered to meet with neighbors to address any concerns.

Mr. Chad Preston, in favor of Appeal, County resident, addressed the Board on his concern regarding how this site can adversely affect the property value in the area.

Chief Al Levine, opposed to Appeal, Chiricahua Trails Fire Department, addressed the Board on statistics regarding his department's response time and equipment stating that he had three trucks and adequate water to put out any fire in that location and would be at the site within eight minutes . He also noted that butane is no more dangerous than propane.

Mr. Thomas Hunt, in favor of Appeal, County resident, addressed the Board regarding his concern about allowing a medical marijuana facility in his neighborhood.

Ms. Edna Scott, in favor of Appeal, County resident, addressed the Board regarding her concern about supporters of this project not being local and therefore unaffected by a medical marijuana facility being built. She added that she was also concerned about water usage and the lack of public outreach notifying local residents of this project.

Mr. Rhona MacMillan, in favor of Appeal, County resident, addressed the Board on her concerns regarding the negative effect this type of project can have on the growing wine businesses in the area, as well as the classification of this being an "agricultural" and not industrial.

Mr. Paul Ottens, in favor of Appeal, County resident, addressed the Board on his concerns regarding criminal activity connected to these types of facilities as well as local agency emergency response time.

Ms. Susan Arbuthnot, in favor of Appeal, County resident, addressed the Board on her concerns regarding the facility's compatibility with the neighborhood, since it is more of an industrial business, the emergency response times. Also, handed the Chairman an additional 27 petitions in favor of the Appeal.

Mr. John Arbuthnot, in favor of Appeal, County resident, addressed the Board on his concerns regarding the project affecting the original intention of what the Chiricahua Trails Ranches was supposed to be, a residential area with a rural quality. He added that he was also concerned with emergency response times, inadequate fire protection, roads not properly maintained to withstand the traffic from this project, and water usage.

Ms. Carol Adcock, in favor of Appeal, County resident, addressed the Board regarding her concerns about turning the neighborhood into an industrial area, water usage of the medical marijuana facility, and the possible increase of criminal activity.

Ms. Peggy Ottens, in favor of Appeal, County resident, addressed the Board regarding her concerns about changing the neighborhood setting, security involved with this type of business, and the petitions in favor of this project coming from people who are not affected.

Ms. Cynthia Traylor, Appellant, addressed the Board regarding her concerns regarding the industrial nature of this project and the strong opposition of local residents in the area, as well

as fire protection in case of an emergency.

Ms. Lucy Flessner, in favor of Appeal, County resident, addressed the Board regarding her concerns regarding security, local resident opposition, and industrial nature of project.

Chairman Searle listed those that did not want to speak, but wanted to be heard on the record.

In Support of Appeal:

Lynn Flessner
Ronald Berry
Stuart Carter

Opposed to Appeal:

Melissa Armenta
June Heath
Nick Cureatos
Catherine McAllister
William Bushard

No one else chose to speak and Chairman Searle closed the public hearing.

Mr. Adam Trenk, Rose Law Group, Applicant's attorney, gave a presentation and noted he was representing an additional nine people opposed to the appeal. He said that his presentation would focus on the merits of Special Use application, why the Appeal should fail, and request that the Board deny the Appeal affirming the unanimous decision of the Planning and Zoning Commission. He showed a map of the area and that surrounding properties are already used for commercial agricultural purposes and that from a distance buildings involved with business are not an eye sore.

He listed the merits of the Special Use application:

- Compliance with duly adopted plans
- Compliance with zoning district purpose statement
- Development along major streets and traffic circulation factors
- Adequate services and infrastructure
- Significant site development
- Public input
- Off-site impacts & hazardous materials
- Water Conservation

He added that the Applicant was a family-owned business who currently operated a similar business who had conformed with all State and local laws and regulations. He stated that there was a lot of community support with more than 200 County residents in support of the project and gave some statistics about the residents in favor. He added that the award of the Special Use authorization was not the establishment of a new policy, but an implementation of an existing policy.

He went over the procedural failings of the Appeal and addressed each concern cited by the Applicant separately.

He then listed the reasons why the Board should deny the appeal:

- The Appeal Should Fail by Any Objective Measure.
 - The Appeal is both Procedurally and Substantively Deficient.
 - Reasons given for why the appellant believed that the Planning Commission's unanimous decision was erroneous do not hold water.

- The appellant has not presented any relevant new “evidence.”
- Most of the alleged “reasons” to grant the appeal had been heard by the Planning Commission, lack substance, and are predicated on fear
- Granting this Appeal sets bad precedent.
- This appeal is distinguished from previous marijuana cultivation appeals:
 - Applicant firmly established in the industry;
 - All criteria met;
 - Enormous community support for the use.
- There has been overwhelming support from over 200 Cochise County Residents.
- The SUP meets or exceeds all criteria for approval.
- Unanimously approved by *your* appointees on the Planning Commission.
- The establishment of this agribusiness operation will create jobs, and bring revenues into Cochise County.
- Cathy’s Compassion Center was approved for cultivation and dispensary and has been operating without incident.
- Affirm the SUP

Mr. Trenk finished his presentation noting that considering all factors and considerations there is no reason to uphold the Appeal as the Applicant has met all required criteria.

Chairman Searle thanked everyone for attending the public hearing and sharing their concerns. He noted that he lives a short distance from Cathy’s Compassion Center and they have been a good neighbor. He said that the project was in his district and that he was very familiar with the area and the property. He noted that public input was an important part of issuing these types of permits and that is why it had been included in the criteria. He stated that there were several good points brought up in opposition and public input from the community was important to him and therefore he could not support this permit.

Supervisor English said that defining the area as a neighborhood was stretching the definition and she also did not think that the Appeal presented new information that was not available to the Planning and Zoning Commission. She also noted that the legality issue is not to be considered as this type of business is legal in the State. She said due to all these factors she was going to support the decision of the Planning & Zoning Commission.

Vice-Chairman Call said that he thought it was the Commission’s job to make a decision on the facts, but the Board was elected to make decisions on what is best for the community. He stated that he was impressed with the neighborhood commitment to make their concerns known especially since they are not well versed in these types of processes. He noted that although this was not a typical neighborhood, it was a neighborhood with a community feel none the less and due to all these factors he would support the Appeal.

Vice-Chairman Call moved to deny the decision of the Planning and Zoning Commission approving SU-16-04 (Kriaris), a request for a Special Use to allow for the cultivation and infusion of medical marijuana on a 40-acre RU-10, D-Rural zoned property, APN 305-55-015, located at 6950 S. Covered Wagon Rd., Willcox, AZ. Chairman Searle seconded the motion.

Chairman Searle stated that the commission members had advised him of concerns they had about the advice given to them by the County Attorney’s Office to disregard public input.

Ms. Orduno, Deputy County Attorney, said she would get clarification from the County Attorney about the weight, if any, public input should have on the Commission’s decisions.

Vice-Chairman Call advised Ms. Orduno that he believed the weight to be given to public input by the Commission is a policy decision. He further advised that he did not want the County Attorney to make a decision without input from the Board. He asked that a meeting be held to

decide this policy issue and that the Board be included in the meeting.

Chairman Searle called for the vote and it was approved 2-0-1 (English opposed).

Community Development

18. Adopt Zoning Ordinance 16-02 to approve Docket R-16-01 for the proposed amendments to the Cochise County Zoning Regulations to clarify, standardize, and simplify language.

Ms. Dora Flores, Zoning Administrator, Planning & Zoning Division, Community Development Department, presented this item using a PowerPoint presentation. Ms. Flores discussed the proposed changes.

Vice-Chairman Call asked if these changes could be discussed in a work session before the Board voted on them.

Mr. Vlahovich said that we could table today's item and staff could prepare for a work session.

Supervisor English said that she was in support of the changes, but did not mind holding a work session to discuss in more detail.

Chairman Searle opened the public hearing.

No one chose to speak and Chairman Searle closed the public hearing.

Vice-Chairman Call moved to table this item until the July 26, 2016 Regular Board Meeting. Supervisor English seconded the motion.

Chairman Searle called for the vote and it was approved 3-0.

ACTION

Board of Supervisors

19. Approve the Minutes of the emergency meeting of the Board of Supervisors of May 26, 2016.

Vice-Chairman Call moved to approve the Minutes of the emergency meeting of the Board of Supervisors of May 26, 2016. Supervisor English seconded the motion.

Ms. Arlethe Rios, Clerk of the Board, presented this item. Ms. Rios said that the reason for the emergency meeting was at the request of the Secretary of State's Office to have the Board re-canvass the special election held on May 17, 2016 to correct the voter registration numbers. She added that under statute ARS 38-431.02(D) the Board was authorized to hold an emergency meeting without a 24 hour notice.

Chairman Searle called for the vote and it was approved 3-0.

Community Development

20. Approve an inspection fee waiver request in the amount of \$20 per lot, up to \$5,000 total, submitted by the City of Douglas for the Bay Acres septic abandonment inspections.

Mr. Paul Esparza, Planning & Zoning Director, Community Development Department, presented this item. Mr. Esparza gave the background and said that the request had been made by the City of Douglas to reduce the trade inspection fee from \$50 to \$30 per unit in association with the septic tank abandonment inspections in conjunction with the Bay Acres Sewer Collection System Improvements Project. He added that the request is up to \$5,000 and staff does recommend approval.

Supervisor English moved to approve an inspection fee waiver request in the amount of \$20 per lot, up to \$5,000 total, submitted by the City of Douglas for the Bay Acres septic abandonment inspections. Vice-Chairman Call seconded the motion.

Mr. Vlahovich said he also recommended approval of the fee waiver.

Supervisor English noted that the project grant was funded by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) and that the City of Douglas was involved because they own the sewer system. She added that this was a good project for the Bay Acres community as the septic systems in that area have been problematic.

Chairman Searle called for vote and it was approved 3-0.

21. Approve an Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) with The City of Douglas for reimbursement to Cochise County for road repairs necessitated by sewer line placement on Washington Avenue and streets in Bay Acres in the amount of \$322,853 as stipulated in the agreement.

Ms Karen Riggs, Highway & Floodplain Director, presented this item. Ms. Riggs gave background. She said that they had been working with the City to repair County roads where sewer lines will be placed. She added that staff recommended approval of this IGA as it gives the County more flexibility.

Chairman Searle asked if all of the County's costs would be covered.

Ms. Riggs said that the funding should cover all of the material and a substantial part of the work.

Supervisor English clarified that the funding source was the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA).

Vice-Chairman Call asked what the length of the project was and where the County portion was coming from.

Ms. Riggs said that it would take about a year to upgrade the sewer plant, then another year to prepare for the project. She added that this project would be funded by the regular operations fund on the County's side.

Vice-Chairman Call moved to approve an Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) with The City of Douglas for reimbursement to Cochise County for road repairs necessitated by sewer line placement on Washington Avenue and streets in Bay Acres in the amount of \$322,853 as stipulated in the agreement. Supervisor English seconded the motion.

Chairman Searle called for the vote and it was approved 3-0.

22. Approve Amendment Two to Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) 11-0371 between the State of Arizona and Cochise County for transfer of Federal Funds from Milepost 5 and 13 to Milepost 9.9 on Davis Road.

Ms. Karen Lamberton, County Transportation Planner, Community Development Department, presented this item. Ms. Lamberton gave an update on the project, the background, and said that the County has paid the required match, the funds just have to be put in place in order for this project to move forward.

Chairman Searle noted that the funds had been allocated by Congress a couple of years back. He noted that the Southeastern Arizona Government Organization (SEAGO) had also provided funding, as well as the County.

Supervisor English moved to approve Amendment Two to Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) 11-0371 between the State of Arizona and Cochise County for transfer of Federal Funds from Milepost 5 and 13 to Milepost 9.9 on Davis Road. Vice-Chairman Call seconded the motion.

Chairman Searle called for the vote and it was approved 3-0.

CALL TO THE PUBLIC

Chairman Searle opened the call to the public.

Ms. Jean Welker, Bowie resident, addressed the Board regarding her concerns about police coverage in the rural areas of the County. She asked that the Board consider increasing the Sheriff's Office budget so that they can provide more coverage of the rural areas, specifically Bowie, who has started a night watch group.

Mr. Jack Cook, Bisbee resident, addressed the Board on matters of personal concern.

No one else chose to speak and Chairman Searle closed the call to the public.

This is the time for the public to comment. Members of the Board may not discuss items that are not specifically identified on the agenda.

REPORT BY JAMES E. VLAHOVICH COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR -- RECENT AND PENDING COUNTY MATTERS

Mr. Vlahovich said the the Administrators group would be having a retreat tomorrow to discuss strategies on how to move forward during the upcoming fiscal year.

SUMMARY OF CURRENT EVENTS

Report by District 1 Supervisor, Patrick Call

Vice-Chairman Call said he would be the guest speaker at the Southeastern Arizona Contractor's Association (SACA) meeting; and attending the following events: Colonel Tony Boone's, Garrison Commander at Fort Huachuca, retirement ceremony; Movies in the Park in Sierra Vista, the Monument Fire appreciation BBQ hosted by Pizzeria Mimosa; and the Change of Command ceremony for the incoming garrison commander.

Report by District 2 Supervisor, Ann English

Supervisor English deferred her report.

Report by District 3 Supervisor, Richard Searle

Chairman Searle deferred his report.

7.

Chairman Searle adjourned the meeting at 12:26 p.m.

APPROVED:

Richard R. Searle, Chairman

ATTEST:

Arlethe G. Rios, Clerk of the Board